



The Open
University

Regulations for validated awards of The Open University for institutions offering Dual awards



Effective from 1 september 2015

Table of Contents

INTRODUCTION	5
GLOSSARY	6
A. FRAMEWORK OF AWARDS	8
1.0 General	8
2.0 Credit	8
3.0 Validated Awards offered by The Open University	9
B. ADMISSIONS	11
4.0 Admissions policy and procedure	11
5.0 Appeals and complaints regarding the admissions process	11
C. MATTERS RELATING TO REGISTRATION	12
6.0 General	12
7.0 Period of registration for Open University awards	12
8.0 Interruption of studies	13
9.0 Attendance requirements	13
D. ASSESSMENT, PROGRESSION AND AWARD OF CREDIT	14
11.0 Minimum requirements for pass	14
12.0 Identification of requirements from professional, statutory and regulatory bodies	14
13.0 Submission of assessed work	14
14.0 Assessment scores	15
15.0 Determining module outcomes	16
16.0 Provision for viva voce examination	16
17.0 Determining progression and qualifications outcomes	16
18.0 Grading and Classification Awards except Bachelor Honours Degrees	19
19.0 Bachelors Honours Degree Classification	19
20.0 Provision for exit awards	20
21.0 Posthumous and Aegrotat awards	20
22.0 Recognition of prior learning	20

E. ACADEMIC MISCONDUCT	22
F. EXTENUATING CIRCUMSTANCES	23
G. BOARDS OF EXAMINERS	25
25.0 Appointment of Boards of Examiners	25
26.0 Membership of Boards of Examiners	25
27.0 Authority of Board of Examiners	26
28.0 Subsidiary Boards of Examiners	26
29.0 Authority of External Examiners	27
30.0 Conditions of conferment by The Open University	28
H. ACADEMIC APPEALS AND COMPLAINTS	28
31.0 Grounds for appeal	28
32.0 Academic Appeals and Complaints procedures	29
33.0 Action following appeal procedures	29
34.0 Appeals to The Open University	29
I. TRANSCRIPTS, DIPLOMA SUPPLEMENTS AND CERTIFICATES	30
35.0 Transcript	30
36.0 Diploma supplement	30
37.0 Certificates	30
Appendix 1 Content of transcripts	31
Appendix 2 Outline structure for the diploma supplement	32
Appendix 3 Content of certificates	34

INTRODUCTION

These Regulations apply to all new student cohorts on programmes leading to an Open University (OU) validated award with effect from 1st September 2015 (or earlier if deemed appropriate by the Partner institution and the OU). The Open University is the awarding body and the qualifications awarded are OU qualifications. However the programmes of study are developed and delivered by Partner Institutions. The Open University and its Partner Institutions have a formal relationship governed by the QAA's UK Quality Code for Higher Education which sets out expectations for academic standards, academic quality and information about higher education provision.

For students registered before the 1st September 2015, the regulations under which they originally registered will continue to apply.

For individual students who are either returning to their programme of study after an approved break from their studies or are transferring to a new or different programme, the regulations of the cohort to which they are returning, will apply.

These Regulations will be referenced in the documentation for each validated programme of study, together with any specific requirements from professional, statutory or regulatory bodies and/or local legislation (for non-UK Partner institutions), as agreed in the validation process for the programme.

In addition to these regulations each programme of study will have a Programme Handbook containing procedures and requirements that are specific to that programme.

GLOSSARY

Apprenticeships students	An apprentice is a student aged 16 or over, who must be in paid employment for the duration of their apprenticeship and will combine working with studying for a work-based, academic or combined qualification.
Assessment component	An individual piece of work or a collection of pieces of work that forms a summative assessment.
Assessment element	An assessment element is a piece of work that contributes to an assessment component.
Award	Open University qualification conferred to a student following the successful completion of an approved programme of study.
Compensation	A means of allowing marginal failure in a limited number of modules on the basis of an overall performance which is sufficient to merit the award of the qualification concerned. Credit for the failed module is normally awarded but the mark stands. Compensation can be applied to the results of a student who has failed to attain the required pass mark at undergraduate level.
Co-requisite module	A co-requisite module must be studied simultaneously with, or before, another designated module within a programme of study.
Credit	A means of quantifying and recognising learning, expressed as 'numbers of credits' at a specific credit level. Within this document it is assumed that one credit represents 10 notional hours of learning (including individual study).
Credit level	An indicator of the relative complexity, depth and autonomy of learning associated with a particular module (used in credit frameworks).

Degree Apprenticeship/Higher Degree Apprenticeship	<p>Higher and degree apprenticeships are available at levels 4 to 7. They combine work with study and may include a work-based, academic or combined qualification or a professional qualification relevant to the industry.</p> <p>Higher apprenticeships go from level 4 to 7 and are equivalent to a foundation degree and above e.g. a professional qualification. Degree apprenticeships are available at levels 6 and 7 (full bachelor's and Master's).</p>
Direct Entry	Exemption to stage of a programme without award of OU credit). Direct entry via stage exemption allows an applicant into Open University validated awards at level 5 or 6 of an undergraduate qualification on the basis of completion of an appropriate certificated qualification from a recognised UK HE programme of study.
Exit award	A lower award than one for which the student is registered. Such an award may be conferred if a student completes part of, but not all, of the requirements of the programme for which he or she is registered.
Institutional Approval	The process through which an institution is judged to be a satisfactory environment for the presentation of programmes leading to The Open University validated awards. Approval is conferred for a period of up to five years.
Institutional Review	The process through which an institution is critically reviewed for the purposes of confirming that it continues to meet The Open University's requirements. Approval is conferred for a further period of up to five years.
Learning outcome	What a learner is expected to know, understand and be able to demonstrate after completing a defined element of study. Learning outcomes features within the programme specification must align with module descriptors.
Module	A self-contained, formally structured, credit-bearing unit of study with explicit learning outcomes. (Some institutions use the word 'course' to refer to individual modules.)
Module specification	A document that defines key characteristics of a module, and includes learning outcomes, models of teaching and learning, and assessment schemes.
Partner institution	An institution approved by The Open University for the delivery of validated programmes of study that lead to Open University awards.

Pre-requisite module	A pre-requisite module is one that must be successfully completed before progressing to another designated module or stage within a programme of study.
Programme	A schedule of academic study and assessment which leads to an Open University award
Programme specification	A document that defines key characteristics of an award, including learning outcomes, models of teaching and learning, assessment schemes, and how individual modules relate to qualification levels and contribute to the classification of awards.
Progression	The advancement (or progress) by a student from one stage of a programme to an adjacent higher stage. Such progression is the subject of regulations of the University and must be confirmed at a meeting of the Board of Examiners.
Qualification level	One of a series of defined points in the Further and Higher Education Qualification Framework (or Scottish Credit and Qualifications Framework in Scotland). They are numbered in ascending order. Qualifications at the same qualification level share characteristics and require similar achievement.
Quality Assurance Agency (QAA)	The UK government-appointed agency that safeguards the quality and standard of the higher education awards offered by UK universities. The Open University complies with the codes of practice defined by the QAA and is subject to its scrutiny.
Recognition of Prior Learning (RPL)	Assessment of prior learning that has occurred in any of a range of contexts including school, college and university, and/or through life and work experiences. Once recognised through this process, prior learning can be used to gain credit or exemption for qualifications and/or personal and career development. RPL includes Accreditation of Prior Certificated Learning (APCL), Accreditation of Prior Experiential Learning (APEL) and Accreditation of Prior Learning (APL).
Resit	To take again part or all failed assessments component in order to pass a module. Resit of the failed component does not require the student to participate in classes.
Retake	To take all assessments components of a module again, having failed a resit attempt. Retake of the failed component may require the student to participate in classes to prepare them for the second attempt.

Stage	A stage of programme is a collection study consisting of a total of 120 credits. In full-time programmes of study a stage is equivalent to the year of study. Stages are usually commensurate with a credit level.
Validation	The formal process whereby a new programme of study is critically appraised by The Open University, in order to establish that it meets the requirements for approval. Approval of a programme of study is for a period of up to five years before a further validation (re-validation) is required. Within this document references to the validation process also include the revalidation process

A FRAMEWORK OF AWARDS

1.0 General

- 1.1 The Open University validated awards are defined with reference either to the Further and Higher Education Qualification frameworks of England, Wales, and Northern Ireland (FHEQ) or to the Scottish Credit and Qualifications Framework (SCQF), but not to both.
- 1.2 Each national qualifications framework provides a set of learning outcomes and skills expected of the holders of Open University validated awards.

2.0 Credit

- 2.1 The Open University uses a UK credit-based system for its validated awards, and it defines these awards primarily in terms of the qualification level and volume of credit required for each.

- 2.2 The main determinant for the volume of UK credit is notional learning time. This is defined as the reasonable measure of time it would take a student to achieve the learning outcomes of the activities. Total notional student learning time includes all activities required to achieve the learning outcomes of a programme, including formal study, assessment, and independent learning.
- 2.3 Notional learning time for a 'standard' full-time academic year is 1200 hours at undergraduate qualification level and 1800 hours at postgraduate qualification level. These are intended as benchmarks only, and the actual time students take to achieve the required outcomes may be shorter or longer depending on the knowledge and skills of students at entry and on their rate of progression through the programme, and the impact of any reasonable adjustments made for students with impairments.
- 2.4 At any given level, 15 UK credits or 3 US credits is equivalent to 7.5 ECTS credits.
- 2.5 Each module of a programme will be assigned a single designated credit level (module assessment is unique to a given credit level). The volume and level of credit assigned to any one module is determined and approved by The Open University at the point of validation.
- 2.6 The assignment of credit to learning should be understood in the following terms:
- (a) Credit is allocated to a learning activity on the basis of its stated learning outcomes.
 - (b) A student will only be assigned credit after demonstration through assessment of the achievement of the stated learning outcomes. Credit cannot be assigned if no assessment has taken place or if the assessment has not been appropriately conducted.
 - (c) The number of credit points assigned is independent of the standards (grades awarded). Students achieving higher standards will not be allocated more credit points. The higher standard will be reflected in the grade and classification of the pass.

3.0 Validated Awards offered by The Open University

- 3.1 The Open University confers the following Validated Awards although Partner institutions do not necessarily offer programmes leading to all of these awards.

Award title	FHEQ Qualification level	Overall number of credits required	Levels of credit required
-------------	--------------------------------	---	------------------------------

Professional Certificate	4	60	60 at any UG level
Certificate of Higher Education (CertHE)	4	120	120 at level 4
Diploma of Higher Education (DipHE)	5	240	120 at level 5 120 at level 4
Foundation degree (FD)	5	240	120 at level 5 120 at level 4
Ordinary Bachelor's degree (BA, BSc, Bed, BEng) [Exit Award only]	6	300	60 at level 6 120 at level 5 120 at level 4
Bachelor's degree with honours (BA(Hons), BSc(Hons), Bed, BEng, LLB (Hons ¹))	6	360	120 at level 6 120 at level 5 120 at level 4
Bachelor of Music (BMus)	6	480	At least at 120 Level 6 No more than 120 at Level 4 Remaining credits at Levels 5 or 6
Bachelor of Osteopathy (BOst)	6	480	At least 120 at Level 6. No more than 120 at Level 4 Remaining credits Levels 5 or 6
Graduate Certificate (Grad Cert)	6	60	60 at level 6
Graduate Diploma (Grad Dip)	6	120	120 at level 6
Award title (continued)	FHEQ Qualification level	Overall number of credits required	Levels of credit required
Professional Graduate Certificate of Education	6	120	A validated PGCE provision may have only 60 credits at FHEQ level 6, with 60 credits at a lower level. This meets the National Qualifications

			Framework for this type of qualification and validation is therefore permitted by the University for such qualifications.
Postgraduate Certificate (PgCert)	7	60	60 at level 7
Postgraduate Diploma (PgDip)	7	120	Min 90 at level 7 Max 30 at level 6
Master's degree (MA, MSc, MArch, MBA, MTh, MFA*, MDes*)	7	180	Min 150 at level 7 Max 30 at level 6
Master of Engineering (MEng)	7	480	Min 120 at Level 7 Min 120 at Level 6 No more than 120 at Level 4 Remaining credits at Levels 5 or 6
Taught MPhil	7	360	Minimum 240 at level 7 Remaining credits at level 6 or above
Professional Doctorate	8	540	Minimum 420 at level 8, maximum of 120 at level 7

¹ Bachelor's degree titles of BEd and BEd (Hons) are reserved for programmes of teacher education. Initial or pre-service BEd programmes include a substantial element of teaching practice, and the normal credits of such a BEd programme will be 480. The In-service BEd is designed for students who are already qualified and experienced teachers holding a Certificate in Education or equivalent qualification. Minimum credits: 180.

* These awards have variance in structure and numbers of credits across the sector, as a result the above acts as a guideline on the number of credits which need to be included within the award.

3.2 Students must meet all requirements set out in the programme specification approved by The Open University before a qualification is awarded.

Titles and characteristics of Programmes

A title will be given to an approved programme leading to any taught award and will not be changed without reference to The Open University.

The title will accord with the normal expectations of higher education bodies, relevant professional bodies, students and employers about the level of knowledge and skills to be expected from a person holding such a qualification.

The University recognises four types of honours degrees in named subjects:

A -Subject or field of study degree, for example, BA (Hons)/BSc (Hons) or MA/MSc in Psychology.

B -Major subject or field of study, with a specialist minor (where the minor subject is part of the broad-based field of study that is the major subject of the degree), for example, BA (Hons)/BSc (Hons) or MA/MSc Humanities (Music).

C – Major subject or field of study with a supplementary minor honours subject, (where the minor subject is not part of the field of study which is the major subject of the degree), for example, BA (Hons)/BSc (Hons) or MA/MSc Computing with Psychological Studies.

D – Joint degrees in two approximately equally-weighted subjects or fields of study, for example, BSc (Hons)/BA (Hons) or MSc/MA Philosophy and Psychological Studies.

The Open University's recommended practice for the programme titles that will appear on certificates is that simple, single-subject titles should be used whenever possible.

Where students study more than one subject, up to three separate fields of study may be identified in the title with or without the addition of 'combined studies'.

Where awards include named pathways, the University recommends a minimum requirement of 25% subject specific credits.

B. ADMISSIONS

Students registering for programmes leading to awards of The Open University must have satisfied the admissions criteria approved by The Open University at validation.

4.0 Admissions policy and procedure

Appendix 4

4.1 This policy should also be read in conjunction with guidance contained in section 22 covering the Recognition of Prior learning.

5.0 Appeals and complaints regarding the admissions process

5.1 Appeals against a decision not to admit an applicant to a programme of study leading to an Open University award are restricted to those that cite irregularity in the decision-making process (for example, failure to make a reasonable adjustment relating to a disability). The process for arbitrating such appeals is that which has been approved at Institutional Approval/Review. Such appeals must be initiated within four weeks of the date of the original decision.

Appendix 5

C. MATTERS RELATING TO REGISTRATION

6.0 General

6.1 A student who is admitted for study on a programme leading to an OU validated award must be registered for that programme with the partner institution.

6.2 The partner institution will submit student name and contact details to The Open University solely for the purposes of recording student details and progression information. By registering with a Partner for a programme leading to an Open University validated award the student agrees to the sharing of their information

with The Open University for this purpose. The Open University will not make any contact with students unless there is a specific need in relation to their studies towards an OU validated award. It is the student's responsibility to keep their personal information up to date with their institution and to notify them of any changes or errors.

7.0 Period of registration for Open University awards

- 7.1 The period of registration will commence on the date the student registers on a programme.
- 7.2 The minimum and maximum periods within which a student will be expected to complete the programme of study and associated assessment, including the time period for any resit assessments, should be those stated in the box below:

Appendix 6

- 7.3 Subject to paragraph 7.5 below, a student will remain registered for the maximum period of the award or until they have achieved the award or the registration has been terminated, whichever comes first.
- 7.4 The period of registration may be extended if:
- (a) the student has had to resit or retake a part of their programme of study, see Section D;
 - (b) the student has been unable to study or complete a year of study due to extenuating circumstances, see Section F;
 - (c) the student has been given permission to take a study break as described in Section 8 below.
- 7.5 A student's registration may be terminated if the student has:
- (a) committed a serious disciplinary offence or be deemed as unfit to study
 - (b) exhausted all opportunities to remedy failure or has made insufficient progress through their programme of study at the required stage;
 - (c) formally notified the institution that they wish to discontinue their studies and so discontinue their programme.
 - (d) failed to comply with their financial commitment to the partner institution

For more information, please see Section D.

-
- 7.6 The date of termination recognised by The Open University will be taken as the date on which a partner institution records the student's registration as terminated.

8.0 Interruption of studies

- 8.1 A student may apply, or be required, to take a study break for a maximum period of twelve consecutive months under the agreed procedure for reasons of ill health or other extenuating circumstances (see Section F). This may be extended in exceptional circumstances as agreed between The Open University and the partner institution.

9.0 Attendance requirement

Appendix 7

D. ASSESSMENT, PROGRESSION AND AWARD OF CREDIT

- 10.0 The forms of assessment and its weighting and timing, and the ways in which the learning outcomes are to be demonstrated through assessment, are set out in the module and programme specifications approved by The Open University at the point of validation.
- 10.1 Each programme handbook contains comprehensive details of the assessment scheme as approved by The Open University in the validation process.

11.0 Minimum requirements for pass

- 11.1 To obtain an Open University award students are required to complete all parts of the programme's approved assessment and comply with all regulations relating to their programme of study.
- 11.2 The minimum aggregate pass marks for The Open University validated awards are:

40% for undergraduate programmes
50% for postgraduate programmes.

These minima may apply to assessment elements as stated in the wording of the module specifications, but will apply to modules, stages and qualifications.

12.0 Identification of requirements from professional, statutory and regulatory bodies

- 12.1 Additional academic regulations required by any professional, statutory or recognised regulatory body will be set out in the relevant programme specification and approved by The Open University in the validation process.

13.0 Submission of assessed work

- 13.1 Work submitted for a summative assessment component cannot be amended after submission, or re-submitted.
- 13.2 Student requests for extensions to assessment deadlines will not be approved unless made in accordance with published partner institution guidelines as approved by The Open University.

- 13.3 Where coursework is submitted late and there are no accepted extenuating circumstances it will be penalised in line with the following tariff:

Submission within 6 working days: a 10% reduction deducted from the overall marked score for each working day late, down to the 40% pass mark (for UG) and 50% pass mark (PG awards) and no further.

Submission that is late by 7 or more working days: submission refused, mark of 0.

A working day is defined by the partner and submission after the deadline will be assumed to be the next working day.

Students who fail to submit work for assessments or attend examinations shall be deemed to have failed the assessment components concerned and will be marked as 0.

14.0 Assessment scores

- 14.1 All undergraduate assessment will be marked on a percentage scale of 0-100.

% Scale Score	Performance Standard
70+	Excellent pass
60-69	Very Good pass
50-59	Good Pass
40-49	Pass
0-39	Fail

- 14.2 All postgraduate assessment will be marked on a percentage scale of 0-100. Taught postgraduate awards may include merit and/or distinction classification (see paragraph 18.1).

% Scale Score	Performance Standard
70+	Distinction

60-69	Merit
50-59	Pass
0-49	Fail

- 14.3 The final grade for an individual assessment component will be determined after completion of a quality assurance process (e.g. moderation, remarking) as detailed in the partner institution's OU approved policy for moderation.
- 14.4 Where the result of the assessment calculation creates a mark of 0.5% or greater this will be rounded up to the next full percentage point (e.g. 69.5% is rounded to 70%; 59.5% to 60%; and so on). Where the calculation creates a mark below 0.5% this will be rounded down to the next full percentage point (e.g. 69.4% is rounded to 69%; 59.4% to 59%; and so on). For the purposes of rounding up or down, only the first decimal place is used.

Appendix 8

15.0 Determining module outcomes

- 15.1 The overall module mark or grade shall be determined as set out in the assessment strategy detailed in the module specification and published in the Programme Handbook.
- 15.2 A student who passes a module shall be awarded the credit for that module. The amount of credit for each module shall be set out in the programme specification and published in the Programme Handbook.
- 15.3 In order to pass a module a student must achieve the requirement of the module as set out in the module specification and published in the Programme Handbook.

- 15.4 Where a student is registered only for a module (rather than a qualification) the resit provisions set out in 17.3 will apply.

16.0 Provision for *viva voce* examination

- 16.1 Exceptionally, viva voce examinations may be required by a Board of Examiners (with the approval of external examiners):
- (a) to confirm the progression/result status of a student;
 - (b) to determine the result status of unusual or borderline cases;
 - (c) when there is conflicting evidence from the various assessment components;
 - (d) as an alternative or additional assessment in cases where poor performance in assessment is the result of exceptional circumstances verified through due processes.

17.0 Determining progression and qualification outcomes

17.1 Module prerequisites and co-requisites

- 17.1.1 The paths through which students are required to progress through the programme, and the elements identified as compulsory or optional, are set out in the programme specification and approved in the validation process.
- 17.1.2 Progression through any programmes offered by partner institutions may require students to complete prerequisite or co-requisite modules which are set out in the programme specification and approved during the validation process.

17.2 Stage requirements

- 17.2.1 Each of the stages of an undergraduate programme is expected to consist of a total of 120 credits.
- 17.2.2 In cases where Programmes are not divided into stages (for example, most postgraduate programmes and undergraduate programmes of 120 credits or less) the provisions below apply to the whole programme.
- 17.2.3 In order to complete and pass a stage of a programme, a student must acquire the total credit set out in the programme specification at the approved qualification level for the award. Exceptions are restricted to those detailed in paragraph 17.5.3 below or have been exempted through advanced standing, or through the implementation of the processes covering extenuating circumstances (see Section F).

17.2.4 The credit value of each module contributing to a stage determines its weighting in the aggregation of credit for a stage.

17.2.5 Where a student fails a module, the following may apply in the first instance:

- (i) Resit (see 17.3 below) – a second attempt at an assessment component following a failure at first attempt.
- (ii) Compensation (see 17.4 below) – the award of credit by the Board of Examiners for a failed module(s) on account of good performance in other modules at the same credit level where the learning outcomes have been met. Retake – a second attempt of all assessment components within a module following failure at the first or resit attempt. Retake of the failed component may require the student to participate in classes to prepare them for the second attempt. This will be confirmed at the Examination Board.

17.3 Resit provision

The board of examiners may, at its discretion, make such special arrangements as it deems appropriate in cases where it is not practicable for students to be reassessed in the same elements and by the same methods as at the first attempt. However, where a validated programme is discontinued, provision has to be made to ensure fair assessment opportunities for all students who have been enrolled.

17.3.1 Resit provision is subject to all the following conditions:

- (a) The maximum number of retakes allowed in a programme leading to an Open University award is 10.
- (b) A student who does not complete the resit by the date specified shall not progress on the programme, except in cases where the process for allowing extenuating circumstances has been followed.
- (c) Resits can only take place after the meeting of the Board of Examiners or following agreement by the Chair and the External Examiner of the Board.
- (d) A student who successfully completes any required resits within a module shall be awarded the credit for the module and the result capped at the minimum pass mark for the module.
- (e) A student shall not be permitted to be reassessed by resit in any module that has received a pass mark, or in a component that has received a mark of 40% or above at UG or 50% PG.
- (f) The resit will normally be carried out by the same combination of written examination, coursework etc. as in the first attempt.

17.4 Compensation

17.4.1 Unless otherwise stated in the approved programme specification, compensation will be applied when the following conditions are met:

- (a) No more than 20 credits can be compensated in any one stage of an undergraduate or postgraduate programme.
- (b) Compensation is not permitted for modules within awards of less than 120 credits in total.
- (c) Examination boards should assure themselves that learning outcomes of the qualification level have been satisfied. The process for evaluating cases will be identified in the programme specification.
- (d) A minimum mark of no more than 5 percentage points below the module pass mark has been achieved in the module to be compensated.
- (e) Taking the module mark to be compensated into account, an aggregate mark of 40% has been achieved for the qualification level of the undergraduate programme (except in the case of MEng Level 7 where an aggregate mark of 50% has been achieved).
- (f) In the case of postgraduate programmes, taking the module mark to be compensated into account, an aggregate mark of 50% has been achieved.
- (g) No compensation shall be permitted for any core project/dissertation/capstone module, as defined in the programme specification.
- (h) PSRB requirements may exempt certain modules from compensation – this will be articulated in the programme specifications.

A student who receives a compensated pass in a module shall be awarded the credit for the module. The original component mark(s) (i.e. below the pass mark) shall be retained in the record of marks and used in the calculation of the aggregate mark for the stage or qualification.

17.5 Options for the retake of study

17.5.1 If, having exhausted all permitted compensation resit and retake opportunities, a student is still unable to pass, the Board of Examiners may, at its discretion, permit one of the following repeat options:

- (a) Partial retake as fully registered student:
 - (i) The student is not permitted to progress to the next stage of the programme but must retake the failed modules and/or components in full during the following academic year,

- (ii) The student has full access to all facilities and support for the modules and/or components being retaken,
- (iii) The marks that can be achieved for the modules and/or components being retaken will be capped at the module and/or component pass marks,
- (iv) The student retains the marks for the modules and/or components already passed,
- (v) No further resit opportunities are permitted.

(b) Partial repeat for assessment only:

- (i) As in paragraph 17.5.1(a) except that access to facilities and support will be limited to certain learning resources for the module(s) and/or component(s) being retaken. Participation will only be allowed for relevant revision sessions and assessments.

(c) Full retake:

- (i) This is only permitted where the student has extenuating circumstances;
- (ii) The student does not progress to the next stage of the programme but instead retakes all the modules in the current stage during the following academic year,
- (iii) The student has full access to all facilities and support,
- (iv) The marks that can be achieved are not capped, and the student is normally entitled to the resit opportunities available. However, a student is not able to carry forward any credit from previous attempts at the stage.

17.5.2 Where compensation, resit, and retake opportunities have been exhausted, a Board of Examiners may recommend a student for an exit award as defined in Section 20 below.

17.5.3 With the approval of the Board of Examiners students may be eligible to progress to a higher stage of a programme without having completed the requisite 120 points of the lower stage. They may exceptionally be allowed to do so if either of the following conditions are applicable:

- (a) A minimum of 60 credits at the lower level have been successfully completed including passes in all designated core modules.
- (b) All requirements for academic prerequisites for the higher-level modules are met;
- (c) Students will not be allowed to study at level 6 before having completed and passed all credits at level 4.

Or,

- (d) All requirements for academic prerequisites for the higher-level modules are met;

- (e) The Examination board have approved progression following a successful application for extenuating circumstances, and results are still pending in the student's profile.

The subsidiary board making progression recommendations to the Board of Examiners, should clearly record the rationale for these decisions and be prepared to articulate these at the Exam Board.

17.5.4 Institutions that offer a rolling entry system for qualifications with a high study intensity may find that the timings of examination boards do not coincide with those institutions that offer programmes within the traditional academic year. Under such circumstances, students are provisionally allowed to progress on the programmes, with the recommendation deferred until the next Board of Examiners which must be held within twelve calendar weeks. At which point the student's full profile will be considered and a formal recommendation for progression will be made (if applicable).

18.0 Grading and Classification Awards except Bachelor Honours Degrees

- 18.1 Validated taught awards, including Masters' degrees, consisting of at least 120 credits at FHEQ level 4 (SCQF 7 for Scotland) or above may be awarded with Merit or Distinction with the exception of ordinary and honours degrees where the award of Merit or Distinction will not apply.
- 18.2 For the award of Distinction, the overall aggregate mark will be 70% or above.
- 18.3 For the award of Merit, the overall aggregate mark will be 60% - 69%.
- 18.4 Where the final result of the classification calculation creates a mark of 0.5% or greater this will be rounded up to the next full percentage point (e.g. 69.5% is rounded to 70%; 59.5% to 60%; and so on). Where the calculation creates a mark below 0.5% this will be rounded down to the next full percentage point (e.g. 69.4% is rounded to 69%; 59.4% to 59%; and so on). For the purposes of rounding up or down, only the first decimal place is used.
- 18.5 Calculation of a Foundation Degree will be based on the average mark across all modules within Stage 2 (usually Credit Level 5) and Stage 1 (usually Credit level 4) unless the requirements of a Professional, Statutory and Regulatory Body (PSRB) state otherwise.

- 18.6 Calculation of a DipHE will be based on the average mark across all modules within Stage 2 (usually Credit Level 5) and Stage 1 (usually Credit level 4) unless the requirements of a Professional, Statutory and Regulatory Body (PSRB) state otherwise.
- 18.6 Calculation of a CertHE will be based on the average mark across all modules within Stage 1 (usually Credit Level 4) unless the requirements of a Professional, Statutory and Regulatory Body (PSRB) state otherwise.
- 18.7 Calculation of Masters Degrees will be based on the average mark across all modules within a programme unless the requirements of a Professional, Statutory and Regulatory Body (PSRB) state otherwise.
- 18.8 The award of PGCert cannot be awarded with Merit.

19.0 Bachelor Honours Degree Classification

- 19.1 Classification of bachelor degrees will be based on the average mark across all modules within Stage 3 (usually Credit Level 6) and Stage 2 (usually Credit Level 5) at a ratio of 2:1 respectively unless the requirements of a Professional, Statutory and Regulatory Body (PSRB) state otherwise.

19.2 Honours degrees are classified as:

First class	Aggregate mark of 70% or above
Upper Second class	Aggregate mark between 60% and 69%
Lower Second class	Aggregate mark between 50% and 59%
Third class	Aggregate mark between 40% and 49%

19.3 Where students have directly entered a Qualification Level 6 top-up award (e.g. having previously undertaken a Higher National Diploma (HND) or Foundation Degree (FD) award) the calculation for the honours classification will be based solely on all credits at Credit Level 6.

19.4 Performance in work for which an award of credit for prior learning has been made is not taken into account in the calculation of the final award. See section 22 for rules related to credit for prior learning.

19.5 Where the final result of the classification calculation creates a mark of 0.5% or greater this will be rounded up to the next full percentage point (e.g. 69.5% is rounded to 70%; 59.5% to 60%; and so on). Where the calculation creates a mark below 0.5% this will be rounded down to the next full percentage point (e.g. 69.4% is rounded to 69%; 59.4% to 59%; and so on). For the purposes of rounding up or down, only the first decimal place is used.

20.0 Provision for exit awards

20.1 Programmes must make provision for exit awards at intermediate stages, for which clear learning outcomes must be stated and laid out in programme specifications approved by The Open University in the validation process.

20.2 Where a student leaves a partner Institution with an exit award they may reapply at a later date to upgrade to a higher award on the same programme, if it is still offered by the partner institution.

20.3 A student who has withdrawn from a programme or has exhausted all assessment attempts (as outline in sections 17.3-17.5.2 above) will automatically be considered for an exit award where sufficient credit has been accrued.

21.0 Posthumous and Aegrotat awards

21.1 Should a student be prevented by illness, or other circumstances, from completing the final assessed component of the programme, the board of examiners, having considered the relevant evidence (including medical certification) may make a recommendation that an Aegrotat award be made. Such exceptions are limited to students who are permanently unable to continue their studies and are registered for the final module that would complete a qualification, and have been assessed

on at least part of the module. The board must be satisfied that the student's prior performance shows beyond reasonable doubt that they would have passed but for the illness, or other circumstances.

- 21.2 Posthumous awards are permitted for all programmes. The classification for such awards is based on past performance and aligned to the closest exit award (which may include a classification). Recommended to the Open University Module Results Approval and Qualifications Classification Panel (MRAQCP) for approval.

22.0 Recognition of prior learning

- 22.1 Students who are able to demonstrate that they have already fulfilled some of the learning outcomes of the programme by means other than attendance on the planned programme, and will be able, by completing the remaining requirements, to fulfil the learning outcomes of the programme and attain the standard required for the award, may be admitted with advanced standing, thus exempting them from some modules or stages of the programme.

If the student's prior learning is not certificated, the partner institution itself will assess the student's learning directly, either by requiring the applicant to take the normal progression assessments of the programme or by some other appropriate form of assessment. This will be laid out in the Recognition of Prior Learning (RPL) policy and procedure as approved by OU at Institutional approval or review.

- 22.2 A student admitted on the basis of uncertificated learning and experience or through prior certified learning is subject to the same principles of admission as all other students on that programme. Subject to the requirements of any Professional, Statutory and Regulatory Body (PSRB) requirements the partner institution has discretion to admit a student with exemption from certain elements of the programme or with specific credit.
- 22.3 A student may be awarded recognition for prior learning (certified, experiential or uncertified), towards the requirements of a named award up to two-thirds* of the total credit requirements for that award.
- 22.4 Recognition for prior learning (certified, experiential or uncertified) is not permitted at level 6 of a Bachelor's Degree or for the thesis/dissertation/capstone module, where students are expected to complete 120 credits in order to gain the award.
- 22.5 Recognition for prior learning (certified, experiential or uncertified) is not permitted for the thesis/dissertation/capstone module on a PG programme.
- 22.6 The Partner Institution will be satisfied that an individual applicant has fulfilled some of the progression and assessment requirements of the programme by means other than attendance on the planned programme, and will be able by

completing the remaining requirements to fulfil the learning outcomes of the programme and attain the standard required for the award, that student may be admitted to an appropriate point in the programme.

**two-thirds of RPL is only permitted for full, three-year bachelor's degrees (360 credits) or full Masters degrees (a minimum of 180 credits) and not sub-awards, where the maximum is 50%*

22.7 DIRECT ENTRY via stage exemption (exemption to stage of a programme without award of OU credit)

- 22.7.1 The RPL policy allows for candidates to enter stage 2 or stage 3 of an undergraduate qualification on the basis of completion of an appropriate certificated qualification from a recognised UK HE programme of study.
- 22.7.2 With stage exemption of this kind, it will be recorded on the student's transcript that stage exemption was awarded, but credit for the stage(s) from which the student was exempted would not be transferred to OU validated awards.

E. ACADEMIC MISCONDUCT

- 23.0 Academic misconduct is defined as any improper activity or behaviour by a student which may give that student, or another student, an unpermitted academic advantage in a summative assessment. In investigating and dealing with cases of suspected misconduct, partner institutions will follow the policies and processes approved at Institutional Approval or Review. Any penalties arising from academic misconduct will be levied in line with the AMBeR Tariff (see section 23.4 below).
- 23.1 The following is a non-exhaustive list of examples of academic misconduct which will be considered under these Regulations:

- 23.1.2 Plagiarism: representing another person's work or ideas as one's own, for example by failing to follow convention in acknowledging sources, use of quotation marks, etc. This includes the unauthorised use of one student's work by another student and the commissioning, purchase and submission of a piece of work, in part or whole, as the student's own.

Note: Where a student has an acknowledged learning disability, a proof-reader may be used to ensure that the student's meaning is not misunderstood as a result of the quality and standard of writing, unless a partner institution policy specifically prohibits this. Where permitted, a proof-reader may identify spelling and basic grammatical errors. Inaccuracies in academic content should not be corrected nor should the structure of the piece of work be changed.

- 23.1.3 Collusion: cooperation in order to gain an unpermitted advantage. This may occur where students have consciously colluded on a piece of work, in part or whole, and passed it off as their own individual efforts or where one student has authorised another to use their work, in part or whole, and to submit it as their own.

Note: legitimate input from tutors or approved readers or scribes is not considered to be collusion.

- 23.1.4 Misconduct in examinations (including in-class tests).

- 23.1.5 Processes for dealing with Academic misconduct and the penalties

Appendix 9

F. EXTENUATING CIRCUMSTANCES

- 24.0 The Open University recognises that students may suffer from a sudden illness, or other serious and unforeseen event or set of circumstances, which adversely affects their ability to complete an assessment, or the results they obtain for an

assessment. In such cases the partner institution's extenuating circumstances procedures will be applied, as approved in institutional review.

- 24.1 A student who is prevented from attending or completing a formal assessment component or who feels that their performance would be (or has been) seriously impaired by extenuating circumstances, may submit a deferral request. Further information is available from the partner institution.

Appendix 10

- 24.2 Students are responsible for ensuring that the partner institution is notified of any extenuating circumstances at the time they occur and for supplying supporting documentation by the published deadline.
- 24.3 If a student is unable to attend an examination or other assessment event because of extenuating circumstances, they must inform the Partner institution as soon as possible and provide supporting evidence before published deadlines or within 7 calendar days, whichever is sooner. If a student cannot submit evidence by published deadlines, they must submit details of the extenuating circumstances with an indication that evidence will be submitted within 7 calendar days.
- 24.4 Medical evidence submitted in support of a claim for extenuating circumstances should be provided by a qualified medical practitioner.
- 24.5 The Partner institution will verify the authenticity of any evidence submitted.
- 24.6 Upon receipt of recommendations from the panel or body responsible for investigating extenuating circumstances, the Board of Examiners, or its subsidiary board, will decide whether to:
- (a) provide a student with the opportunity to take the affected assessment(s) as if for the first time, allowing them to be given the full marks achieved for the examination or assessment, rather than imposing a cap;
 - (b) waive late submission penalties;
 - (c) determine that there is sufficient evidence of the achievement of the intended learning outcomes from other pieces of assessment in the module(s) for an overall mark to be derived;
 - (d) note the accepted extenuation for the module(s) and recommend that it is taken into account at the point of award and classification.

- 24.7 The Board of Examiners, depending on the circumstances, may exercise discretion in deciding on the particular form any reassessment should take. Options are a viva voce examination, additional assessment tasks designed to show whether the student has satisfied the programme learning outcomes, review of previous work, or normal assessment at the next available opportunity. The student will not be put in a position of unfair advantage or disadvantage: the aim will be to enable the student to be assessed on equal terms with their cohort.
- 24.8 If a student fails, without good cause, to provide the responsible body with information about extenuating circumstances within the timescales specified in the partner institution policy, the responsible body has authority to reject the request on those grounds.

G. BOARDS OF EXAMINERS

25.0 Appointment of Boards of Examiners

- 25.1 For every programme (or subject area in a Liberal Arts or Combined Studies programme) leading to a validated award of The Open University there will be a Board of Examiners whose constitution (including a note of those members constituting a quorum) and terms of reference will have been approved by The Open University as part of the Institutional approval and review process.
- 25.2 In the case of large modular programmes, there may be subsidiary boards with designated responsibility for a cognate group of units or subjects. Where this is the case, the relative responsibilities, powers and terms of reference of the different boards will have been approved by The Open University (as part of the Institutional approval and review process).

26.0 Membership of Boards of Examiners

- 26.1 The Academic Board (or equivalent body) of the Partner institution is required to agree the membership of Boards of Examiners, at the start of each academic year.
- 26.2 All External Examiner(s) for the programme/subject area will be members of the board. In the case where institutions have many External Examiner(s) only will be required to be members of the board where recommendations for awards to The Open University's Module Results Approval and Qualifications Classification Panel (MRAQCP) are made.
- 26.3 Under no circumstances may a student of the partner institution of The Open University or studying for an award of The Open University be a member of, or attend, a Board of Examiners. A person who is otherwise qualified to be an internal examiner for a programme, for example as a member of academic staff or as an approved External Examiner, and is coincidentally registered as a student on another programme either at the same institution or elsewhere, will not be disqualified from carrying out normal examining commitments.
- 26.4 The Chair of the Board of Examiners will be a senior member of staff, commonly a Head of Department or Dean of Faculty, not directly involved in the delivery of the programme/subject area or the assessment of students in the programme or subject area considered by the Board of Examiners, or delegated nominee.
- 26.5 The Registrar (or equivalent) or a nominee at the partner institution – acting with the authority of the Secretary to the Academic Board – should normally be appointed as Secretary to the Board of Examiners.

- 26.6 A member of The Open University staff must be present at any Examination Board where final decisions on recommendations for an Open University award is made and where decisions about progression are made. Although not normal practice The Open University reserves the right to Chair the Board of Examiners or any subsidiary boards.

Appendix 11

27.0 Authority of Boards of Examiners

- 27.1 The Board of Examiners is authorised to determine the progression of students in accordance with these academic regulations and to recommend progression or the conferment of validated awards of The Open University.
- 27.2 All progression and award recommendations are made to The Open University's Module Results Approval and Qualifications Classification Panel (MRAQCP) for them to ratify. The Panel is responsible for approving recommendations for module results and the award and Classification of qualifications (including partner institutions).
- 27.3 All decisions related to a student's progression, final results, and awards, will be approved by a properly constituted Board of Examiners.
- 27.4 No other body has authority to recommend conferment of an award or progression, nor to amend the decision of an approved and properly constituted Board of Examiners acting within its terms of reference and in accordance with the regulations for the programme of study. A Board of Examiners may, however, be required to review a decision, or may have that decision annulled under the Appeals procedure.

28.0 Subsidiary Boards of Examiners

- 28.1 Any subsidiary board of examiners (with designated responsibility for a cognate group of units or subjects) will include all subject-based or cognate group External Examiners.
- 28.2 The rights and duties of External Examiners on subsidiary boards are the same as those of External Examiners on the parent board except that the subsidiary board of examiners only makes recommendations to the parent board.

- 28.3 Subsidiary boards will exercise responsibility for assessing students but will not make decisions on progression or awards. These will remain the responsibility of the parent Board of Examiners.
- 28.4 Once a subsidiary board has confirmed marks or grades for a student these will not normally be varied by the parent board – although the parent board retains the authority to reach its own conclusion on the overall performance and grading of each student. It follows that, while a subsidiary board will be charged with recommending arrangements for reassessment of a failed student, only the parent board – having confirmed the fail and determined a student's right to retrieve – can approve the reassessment arrangements.

29.0 Conditions of conferment by The Open University

- 29.1 The OU may approve conferment of a validated award when the following conditions are satisfied:
- (a) The student has been a registered student at a Partner institution at the time of the assessment for an award and the appropriate fee to The Open University has been paid by the institution.
 - (b) Details of the student's full name, full postal address, email address, telephone numbers, date of birth, gender, programme of study, award and all required information have been forwarded to The Open University.
 - (c) The institution at which the student has been registered has confirmed that the student has completed a programme of study approved by The Open University as leading to the award being recommended.
 - (d) The award has been recommended by a Board of Examiners convened, constituted and acting under regulations approved by The Open University and including all members appointed by The Open University as External Examiners for the programme.
 - (e) The recommendation of the award has been signed by the Chair of the Board of Examiners, the External Examiners and The Open University's representative at the Board of Examiners, confirming that the assessments have been carried out in accordance with the requirements of The Open University and that the recommendations have received the written approval of the External Examiners.

H. ACADEMIC APPEALS AND COMPLAINTS

30.0 Grounds for appeal

- 30.1 There shall be no appeal against an assessment result determined in accordance with paragraph 15.1 above, except on the grounds that the approved policy for moderation has not been followed.
- 30.2 A student may appeal against a decision of a Board of Examiners made under section G 27 and 28 above, only if one or more of the following grounds apply:
- (a) Where the student provides written evidence in support of a claim that performance in the assessment was adversely affected by extenuating circumstances which the student was unable or, for valid reasons, unwilling to divulge before the Board of Examiners reached its decision; or
 - (b) Where there is prima facie evidence, whether provided by the student or otherwise, that:
 - (i) there has been a material administrative error; or
 - (ii) the examinations or other assessments were not conducted in accordance with the regulations for the programme and/or special arrangements formally agreed; or
 - (iii) some other material irregularity relevant to the Board of Examiner's decision has occurred.
- 30.3 Disagreement with the academic judgement of a Board of Examiners cannot of itself constitute grounds for an appeal.
- 30.4 An appeal must be made within the time limits and in the manner prescribed in the partner institution's approved appeals procedure set out in paragraph 32 below.
- 30.5 A formal complaint is an expression of dissatisfaction with a service provided or the lack of a service. It must relate to services that students were led to believe would be provided. Students should refer to procedures set out in paragraph 32 below.
- 30.6 Where the student raises a matter of concern that does not meet the grounds for appeal under this paragraph, the matter may be dealt with as a formal complaint. Please note that, even in the event that a complaint is upheld, where there is no right of appeal, an assessment result made under paragraph 15.1 or a decision of a Board of Examiners made under section G 27 and 28 cannot be changed.

31.0 Academic Appeals and Complaints procedures

Appendix 12

32.0 Action following appeal procedures

- 32.1 The student will be sent a written statement setting out the decision that has been made and the reasons for reaching that decision, together with any actions required by the student or the partner institution to follow up and implement that decision. Partner institutions will align to Office of the Independent Adjudicator (OIA) timelines. The written statement will also include details of any further right of appeal available to the student if they remain dissatisfied with the final outcome of the institution's procedures. This will include, where applicable, the right to appeal to The Open University under paragraph 34 below.

33.0 Appeals to The Open University

- 33.1 There shall be a final right of appeal to The Open University against a decision of a Board of Examiners only if the appeal is against a decision related to either:

- (a) progression from one stage to another of the programme to the next; or
- (b) a final award;

and both of the following criteria are met:

- (a) all appropriate internal procedures at the partner institution have been exhausted;
- (b) there are reasonable grounds to believe that the partner institution's internal procedures and regulations for dealing with appeals were not implemented correctly or fairly.

The procedure for appealing to The Open University is set out in The Open University Handbook for Validated Awards.

I. TRANSCRIPTS, DIPLOMA SUPPLEMENTS AND CERTIFICATES

34.0 Transcript

- 34.1 The transcript is produced by the partner institution and provides a comprehensible verifiable record of a student's learning. The standard content of a transcript is listed in Appendix 1 of these Regulations.
- 34.2 The transcript is issued to the student after each stage of their programme is completed.
- 34.3 If a student has completed only a part of a programme of study, without fulfilling the full requirements for an award, a transcript is issued.

35.0 Diploma supplement

- 35.1 The diploma supplement is issued to a student solely on the successful completion of a qualification.
- 35.2 The diploma supplement is produced by the partner institution and provides students who have completed an Open University validated award with a formal, verifiable and comprehensive record of learning and achievement. The standard content of a diploma supplement are set out in Appendix 2 to these Regulations.

36.0 Certificates

- 36.1 The Open University issues a certificate for each conferred award. The standard content of a Certificate for a validated award is set out in Appendix 3 to these Regulations.
- 36.2 Students awarded any qualification of The Open University, will be issued with a certificate in respect of that qualification in the name held in formal records at the point when the qualification is conferred. A certificate will not be amended or reissued in a different name if a change of name is notified after the date the qualification is conferred, except in the case of an error by The Open University in recording personal details, or if a valid request is made under the Gender Recognition Act 2004. A duplicate certificate will be issued in the same name as the original certificate (unless amended as above), even if a change of name may subsequently have been notified.

Content of transcripts

Partner institutions will present the information in the format that they consider to be most appropriate. The minimum requirements for the content of transcripts of The Open University validated awards are:

- (a) Student details
- (b) Details of the qualification, including any professional, statutory or regulatory body accreditation or recognition.
- (c) Up-to-date details of learning and achievement, i.e. modules or units studied, credits awarded, marks or grades achieved and the date and year in which credits were awarded.
- (d) Up-to-date details of non-completion, including the number of attempts taken to complete a module.
- (e) Other types of learning, e.g. study abroad, work placement and work experience, accreditation of prior certificated and experiential learning, or accreditation of key skills.

Outline structure for the diploma supplement

The following information will be given in the diploma supplement:

1 Information identifying the holder of the qualification

- 1.1 Family name(s)
- 1.2 Given name(s)
- 1.3 Date of birth (day/month/year)
- 1.4 Student identification number or code (if available)

2 Information identifying the qualification

- 2.1 Name of qualification and (if applicable) title conferred (in original language)
- 2.2 Main field(s) of study for the qualification
- 2.3 Name and status of awarding institution (in original language)
- 2.4 Name, address and status of institution (if different from 2.3) delivering studies
- 2.4a Principal location of study (if different from 2.4 above)
- 2.5 Language(s) of instruction/examination

3 Information on the level of the qualification

- 3.1 Level of qualification
- 3.2 Official length of programme
- 3.3 Access requirements(s)

4 Information on the contents and results gained

- 4.1 Mode of study
- 4.2 Programme requirements
- 4.3 Programme details (e.g. modules or units studied) and the individual grades/marks/credits obtained
- 4.4 Grading scheme and, if available, grade distribution guidance
- 4.5 Overall classification of the qualification (in original language)

5 Information on the function of the qualification

- 5.1 Access to further study
- 5.2 Professional status (if applicable)

6 Additional information

- 6.1 Additional information
- 6.2 Further information sources

7 Certification of the supplement

- 7.1 Date
- 7.2 Signature of official certifying the diploma supplement
- 7.3 Capacity
- 7.4 Official stamp or seal of partner institution

8 Information on the higher education system

For this field, Partner institutions will adopt the standard description adopted by the rest of The Open University.

Content of certificates

A validated award certificate conferred under the Charter of The Open University records:

- (a) the name of the Partner institution at which the student has been registered, together with the name of any other institution sharing responsibility for the student's programme of study
- (b) the student's full name as given on the list of recommendations submitted to The Open University by the institution at which the student was registered. For gender reassignment, The Open University will require proof of the new identity before a new certificate is issued.
- (c) the award
- (d) the title of the programme, as approved by The Open University
- (e) the language of instruction and assessment, where this is not English
- (f) an approved endorsement, where appropriate, that the programme of study was in sandwich mode
- (g) the date the award was conferred.

The Open University validated award certificates conform with specific design requirements of The Open University.

Appendix 4

The Admissions Process

To qualify for admission to the academic programs of the College, applicants must demonstrate that they possess the appropriate qualifications to enable them to be successful in the program of their choice. Candidates are evaluated based on their academic performance, proficiency in English, and overall student profile (i.e.: letter of recommendation, personal statement, personal interview, extracurricular activities and work experience).

All applicants must possess a secondary education completion Certificate such as a Greek High School Apolyterion, an International Baccalaureate Diploma, a French Baccalaureate, a German “Abitur” or an equivalent secondary education completion Certificate as defined in the NAFSA Guide to World Education Systems or must have passed at least 8 IGCSE/GCSE/GCE subjects. Applicants who have completed their secondary education in a school in Greece that does not award an Apolyterion must possess a secondary education completion Certificate from one of the Schools that are officially recognized by the Greek Ministry of Education.

Moreover, the standard minimum average grade entry requirement shown on the secondary education completion Certificate as defined above is the following: 14/20 in the Greek Apolyterion, an overall average grade of 2.5/4.0 in a US style school, 24 and above in the International Baccalaureate or the equivalent of any other educational grading system. Applicants whose grades are between 12/20 and 13.99/20 on a Greek Apolyterion or the equivalent grades for students who hold a secondary education completion Certificate as defined above, may be admitted to the College on a provisional basis.

Students admitted on a provisional basis will be required to fulfill the following conditions in order to be allowed to continue on their selected major after the completion of one academic year after their acceptance to Deree.

- Meet with an assigned advisor at the Academic Advising Office at least twice every month or whenever the advisor thinks it is necessary. The assigned advisor will monitor the student progress very closely and may require that they seek academic help through the Student Academic Support Services.
- The number of courses students will be allowed to register for will be determined by their English Language Placement (see section “Evidence of Proficiency in English”). However, in no case will they be allowed to register for a total of more than 2 courses if placed in EAP 1002 or for more than 4 courses if placed in WP

1010. Students with provisional status who are placed in EAP 1000, EAP 1001 must first complete their English for Academic Purpose courses before they begin taking College level courses along with EAP 1002.

- Students who have successfully completed only the EAP sequence during their first academic year will be able to continue.
- Achieve a minimum cumulative average (CI) of at least 2.0 after one academic year.
- After the completion of one academic year on provisional status, students' performance will be reviewed by the Committee on Academic Standards and Policies (CASP), which will decide on student progression and/or new conditions.
- Students on provisional status are subject to the College probation policy (see section "Academic Probation").

The following is required for all freshmen applicants:

1. Completed application form
2. Personal statement
3. Letter of recommendation from an academic teacher or professor
4. Official secondary school transcript(s) and diploma(s)
5. Certified copy of the state identity card or a valid passport for non-Greek citizens (and residence permit, if applicable)
6. Evidence of proficiency in English.

Candidates are required to schedule an interview with a designated College representative after all application material has been submitted in order to assess their profile.

Evidence of Proficiency in English

All applicants must demonstrate proficiency in the English language either by taking the College's English Placement

Test (Oxford Online Placement Test) or by submitting any evidence derived from one of the following tests.

Pearson test of Academic English (PTE Academic): 58 or greater

Michigan State University Certificate of Language Proficiency (MSU-CELP) Michigan Proficiency Certificate

Cambridge Proficiency Certificate

Cambridge Advanced English (CAE) with Grade A only

International Baccalaureate Diploma

IELTS: (academic) 6.5 or above

SAT: 450 or above

ACT: 18 or above

TOEFL (paper based): 567 or above TOEFL (computer based): 227 or above TOEFL (internet based): 87 or above

GCE higher level English: Grade C or greater

Oxford Online Placement Test: 99 or above

Applicants presenting a TOEFL score should arrange to have the test results sent directly to the Office of Admissions by the Educational Testing Service (ETS). The College's Institution Code Number is 0925. TOEFL scores are valid for 2 years.

Students may also qualify to take WP 1010 by submitting evidence of fluency based on graduation from an English speaking secondary school or program.

The above listed grades qualify the student for placement directly into WP 1010. Applicants who do not qualify for WP 1010 but who otherwise show academic promise are required to follow the English for Academic Purposes Program (see section "Academic Writing").

Registering for the Placement Test

Upon submission of their application to the Office of Admissions, students who have not demonstrated proficiency in English, will also register for the College's English Placement Test. The test is free of charge.

The College uses an online placement test, designed to measure test takers' ability to function communicatively at different levels of English language proficiency according to the Common European Framework of Reference (CEFR).

The results of the test, which are placed in the student's folder, determine which English course students will be required to take and they are not communicated externally.

Transfer Students Admission Procedure

Applicants who have started their college studies elsewhere and now intend to become candidates for a degree at

Deree - ACG are required to submit the following.

1. Completed application form
2. Personal statement
3. Letter of recommendation from an academic teacher/professor
4. Official College/University transcript(s) with a catalog (if applying for transfer credits). Transfer students who have completed fewer than 30 credit hours must also submit an official secondary school transcript(s) and diploma (see also section "Admission with Credit").
5. Certified copy of the state identity card or a valid passport for non-Greek citizens (and residence permit, if applicable)
6. Evidence of proficiency in English. Non-native speakers of English who have not completed 30 semester credit hours or the quarter system equivalent (three quarters) and who do not have a secondary school diploma from a school where the language of instruction is English must meet the English language admissions requirement (see "Evidence of Proficiency in English").

Candidates are required to schedule an interview with a designated College representative after all application material has been submitted in order to assess their profile.

Transfer students who have completed courses at an accredited non-US based post-secondary institution must have a cumulative index (CI) or overall Grade Point Average (GPA) of 2.5 or above. Transfer students who have completed courses at an accredited US post-secondary institution must have a cumulative index (CI) or overall Grade Point Average (GPA) of 2.75 or above. Transfer students must contact the Academic Advising Office and the Validation Office after they are admitted to the College.

There is no application fee.

For international students (i.e., students from non-EU countries) there is an international student fee due when the student registers.

Admission with Credit

Subject to the requirements set out above, students may be admitted with exemption from certain elements of a program and with credit towards a Deree US degree, and/or an Open University UK validated award.

The College's decision on exemption shall be governed in all cases by an evaluation of:

- the student's previous learning to determine the maximum amount of credit that s/he could be awarded at levels 4 and 5 and/or toward the Deree US degree;
- the extent to which s/he has already met the learning outcomes for the courses from which exemption is sought.

Credit with exemption may be obtained in three ways:

Credit Transfer

Students who have completed all or part of the requirements of a degree validated by a UK university may be granted credit towards a program leading to the Deree US degree or an Open University award. Any student wishing to be considered on this basis for credit with exemption must supply the College with evidence of the qualification(s) that she or he has obtained. This evidence will normally take the form of an award certificate (if applicable), transcript, and details of the syllabus for the student's previous program.

Recognition of Prior Certificated Learning (RPCL)

Credit may also be granted to students who have completed an assessed program offered by a higher education institution that is not validated by a UK university, such as a regionally accredited US institution. Any student wishing to be considered for RPCL must supply the College with evidence of the qualification(s) that she or he has obtained. This evidence will normally take the form of an award certificate (if applicable) and transcript, and details of the syllabus that she or he had followed. In addition, the College will need to establish to its own satisfaction the standard of achievement required for the qualification obtained by the student, and the reliability of the quality assurance procedures utilised by the student's previous institution. The College

reserves the right to undertake its own assessment of the student's prior learning by setting one or more waiver examinations with credit.

Recognition of Prior Experiential Learning (Waiver Examinations) (RPEL)

Exemption (with credit) may be granted for learning that has been achieved outside the formal education and training system, or for learning that cannot be verified by the procedures set out in the «RPCL» section. In these cases, students are required to sit one or more waiver examinations with credit. The mode of assessment to be adopted for a waiver examination is proposed by the faculty with responsibility for the course(s) from which exemption is being sought. In cases of performance courses such as in art, theatre, dance, and music, the waiver examination with credit must include a portfolio of work and/or an audition.

Once the application for RPEL via an examination is approved, a fee of 90 Euros per credit hour to be assessed will be charged to the student. Each student is limited to 12 credit hours of RPEL credit.

Other RPCL/RPEL Policies

Students can only be exempted from (or granted credit for) a maximum of 36 US (180 UK) credits for credit transfer (see "RPCL" section) comprising no more than 24 US (120 UK) credits at Level 4 and 12 US (60 UK) credits at Level 5. Students cannot be exempted from (or granted credit for) courses at level 6.

The relevant department head(s) or area coordinator(s) are responsible for the approval of claims for credit with exemption. Approval should not be given without prior consultation with the Advising Office and the Validation Office. The Validation Office maintains a record of all claims that have been approved, and it is responsible for informing the Registrar. The Advising Office and the student can view the outcome of a claim through the College student management software.

Transfer credits are not granted toward College wide English course requirements for courses taken at a College/ University where the language of instruction is not English. Transfer credit is not given for foreign language courses in a student's native language except in literature.

Diagnostic examinations are mandatory for foreign languages and are given to all registered students. Credit is not awarded for RPEL in foreign languages. Students are placed appropriately into foreign language courses according to the results of the examinations.

Grades are not assigned to credits awarded under the procedures described in this section. For transfer courses students must have earned a minimum grade which is equivalent to a “C” in the Deree grading scale (see section “Grades”).

Credit by Assessment for Professional Experience

Credit by assessment may be earned for experiential learning (professional experience) by experienced professionals* who wish to begin or complete their studies. Such credit may fulfill up to 36 US credits required for a degree.

No credit by assessment can be awarded for Level six (6) courses. No credit by assessment can be awarded for more than four (4) Level 5 courses.

The method of assessment, the number of credits to be earned as well as the course(s) for which experiential credit will be given will be decided by the relevant academic department(s) depending on the disciplines for which credit has been requested. The academic department of the student’s declared major will report the results of the assessment to the relevant School Dean for approval. The Office of the Dean will send the final approved evaluation to the Registrar’s Office.

Once the application is approved a fee of 90 Euros per credit hour to be assessed will be charged to the student.

*Students must submit an application in order to take advantage of the Credit by Assessment program. The application includes an updated resume and a statement that describes knowledge and skills gained through experience-based learning and how they relate directly to course(s) for which credit requested. Students may also submit certificates of training, work samples, and other documents appropriate as evidence of equivalent to college learning.

Evaluation of Transfer Credits Process

The transfer credit process begins immediately after the student’s first registration and only after the student has submitted both the official transcript(s) and the course syllabi or descriptions of substantial length from official publications of the institution. Course syllabi may be required for a better evaluation of the student’s completed prior academic work. All submitted documents not

in English or Greek must be accompanied by certified English or Greek translations and must be submitted to the Validation Office before the end of the student's first semester. The assessment process of the student's prior academic work will be completed no later than two months (excluding vacation period) after the student has submitted a complete folder of the required documents as stated above.

Students cannot be granted credit (or be exempted from) courses at Level 6 and/or for more than 4 courses (i.e. 12 US credits or 60 UK credits) at Level 5. All transfer credit requests are handled by the Validation Office. All final decisions on transfer credit are made by the Academic Departments.

Appendix 5

Applicant Grievance Procedure

The purpose of the Applicant Grievance Procedure is to provide an open and fair process for both applicants and the admissions staff. It is an opportunity for applicants to raise matters of concern and for the College to resolve quickly and fairly complaints about the admissions process.

Procedure

Applicants are apprised of the Grievance Procedure from the application form.

A majority of grievances may be dealt with informally by speaking to the Admissions Counselor or to the Dean of Enrollment and International Students. Applicants, whose complaints are not addressed informally, or who wish to appeal an admissions decision, should make a formal complaint or appeal in writing and forward it to the Dean of Enrollment and International Students along with copies of all the relevant documentation including correspondence. The College will try to resolve all issues within 28 working days of receiving the complaint/appeal.

An investigation of the matter will be conducted by the Dean of Enrollment and International Students along with any relevant resource persons and a written response will be provided.

There are three possible outcomes: that there is no case for further action and therefore the complaint/ appeal is dismissed; that there are legitimate grounds for the complaint/appeal and action is required to resolve the issue; that the complaint/appeal is complex and will be referred to the Vice President of Administration for further investigation. Should further action be required, a subcommittee of admissions administrators is appointed by the Vice President of Administration to address the issue.

Appeals

If the applicant is dissatisfied with the outcome, s/he may appeal in writing to the Vice President of Administration.

Applicants may appeal to the Office of the Independent Adjudicator (OIA).

Appendix 6

Students may remain registered with the University for a period of three years beyond the expected date of graduation. If a student wishes to complete a program of study after this date she or he must re-register and pay the appropriate fee. The maximum period of registration for an Open University validated award is ten years.

Appendix 7

Attendance Policy

All students must meet the College's attendance requirements.

All students are required to attend 80% of instructional class time. Some programs may impose a stricter attendance requirement.

Absence from a class does not exempt a student from completing the work for that class. Students who have exceeded the allowed threshold of absences will be referred by the instructor to the Registrar's Office. The Registrar will in turn inform the Committee on Standards and Policies (CASP) which, in the light of any evidence of extenuating circumstances submitted by the student, will decide whether the student must withdraw from the course (and receive an F grade). In any case, the final decision is made by the Committee on Academic Standards and Policies (CASP).

Appendix 8

Second Marking

All assessed work submitted for credit in programs leading to Open University validated awards shall be subject to the policy of second marking. This policy extends to all modes of assessment. In implementing the College's policy on second marking, the following procedure will be adopted:

- The first marker will provide the rubric for the assessment of the course (faculty are expected to develop rubrics for the assessment of students, and it is the responsibility of department heads or area coordinators to ensure that these rubrics are consistent with the program specification and other documentation approved at validation), the grade assigned to each item of assessed work and a brief justification for this grade.
- Second markers will be selected by the department head/area coordinator from the first marker's department, and s/he should be familiar with the course content. The second marker should test mark a minimum sample of 25% of completed assessments. In all cases the samples should not be lower than five.
- The sample of work for second marking will be prepared by the Registrar's Office. This sample will comprise all items that have been assigned an A grade or a grade below C (40%), and a representative selection of the remaining items of assessed work. The sample should include at least some work that will be sent to external examiners (thereby providing them with evidence that second marking has been carried out).
- The mark should be agreed between the original instructor and the second marker. In cases where it is not possible to reach agreement, a third internal marker will be appointed by the department head or area coordinator. All items of assessment for that course should be re-marked if the third marker recommends a significant change in the grade assigned to any item of assessed work. In this event, the entire cohort will be subject to the process of re-marking.
- The second (or, in the event of a disagreement, the third) marker should prepare a brief report during the marking process to be sent to the external examiner for that course. This report should explain the basis upon which the assessed work was graded and the procedure adopted for the second (and where relevant the third) marking; provide an analysis of the distribution of marks between students and modes of assessment; identify any issues that were encountered in the assessment problems; and make recommendations for the future assessment of the course.
- All decisions on grades remain provisional until they have been confirmed at the relevant Board of Examiners.

Appendix 9

Academic Misconduct DERE College Penalties (Adapted from Plagiarism Reference Tariff, 2015)

1. Assign points based on the following criteria:

In deciding the seriousness of an offence COSC will take into consideration the nature of the offence, the significance of the assessment, the level of study and any evidence of deliberate attempts to avoid detection.

HISTORY

All academic integrity offences will be recorded and used to determine history.

1st Time	100 points
2nd Time	150 points
3rd Time	200 points

AMOUNT/EXTENT

This refers to all types of individual and collaborative, written and practical, print and electronic assignments including word processed documents, project work, presentations, spreadsheets, media projects, drawings, designs, computer code, etc.

Below 5% AND less than two sentences	80 points
As above but with critical aspects* plagiarized	105 points
Between 5% and 20% OR more than two sentences but not more than two paragraphs	105 points
As above but with critical aspects* plagiarized	130 points
Between 20% and 50% OR more than two paragraphs but not more than five paragraphs	130 points
As above but with critical aspects* plagiarized	160 points

Above 50% OR more than five paragraphs	160 points
Submission purchased from essay mill or ghostwriting services	225 points
Presenting work taken (all or in part) from another without their knowledge or consent	225 points
Presenting work previously submitted	225 points
Other severe academic misconduct (e.g. cheating on examination, fabrication, falsification of data and/or references, etc.)	225 points

Critical aspects are key ideas and arguments that are central to the assessment.

Please note that the % does not relate to Turnitin originality report.

LEVEL/STAGE

Stage 1 (L4 modules, or freshman/sophomore year of US degree)	70 points
Stage 2 (L5 modules, or junior year of US degree)	115 points
Stage 3 (L6 modules, or senior year of US degree)	140 points

VALUE/SIGNIFICANCE OF ASSESSMENT

Standard weighting (50% or less of module)	30 points
Component weighted at more than 50% of module	60 points
Final capstone project, dissertation, or other type of major assessment contributing to award	100 points

ADDITIONAL CHARACTERISTICS

Evidence of deliberate attempt to disguise plagiarism by changing words, sentences, references or any other method to avoid detection.	40 points
--	-----------

2. Award penalties based on the assigned points:

PENALTIES (SUMMATIVE WORK)

In all cases a formal written admonishment is given and a record made contributing to the student's previous history.

Points	Available Penalties (select only one)
280-329	<ul style="list-style-type: none">● No further action beyond formal written warning● Assessment awarded 0% (mark FP) –resit** but with mark capped at 40%
330-379	<ul style="list-style-type: none">● Assessment awarded 0% (mark FP) – resit but with mark capped at 40%
380-479	<ul style="list-style-type: none">● Assessment awarded 0% (mark FP) –resit but with mark capped at 40%● Assessment awarded 0% (mark FP) - no resit allowed – module retake (if required) with mark capped at 40%
480-524	<ul style="list-style-type: none">● Assessment awarded 0% (mark FP) - no resit - module retake (if required) with mark capped at 40%● Module awarded 0% (mark F) – module retake (if required) with mark capped at 40%
525-559	<ul style="list-style-type: none">● Module awarded 0% (mark F) – module retake (if required) with mark capped at 40%● Suspension and Award classification reduced [note on DEREЕ transcript]● Qualification reduced (e.g. Honours -> no Honours) [note on DEREЕ transcript]
560 +	<ul style="list-style-type: none">● Module awarded 0% (mark F) – module retake (if required) with mark capped at 40%● Suspension and Award classification reduced [note on DEREЕ transcript]● Qualification reduced (e.g. Honours -> no Honours) [note on DEREЕ transcript]

- Expulsion from institution [no re-admission allowed] [note on DERE transcript]

** Resits and retakes may only be taken where permitted within academic regulations.

GUIDELINES & INFORMATION

MITIGATING/EXTENUATING CIRCUMSTANCES & ATTENDANCE

FOR STUDENTS SUBMITTING PETITIONS TO THE COMMITTEE ON ACADEMIC STANDARDS AND POLICIES (CASP)

1. What is mitigating/extenuating circumstances

Students may suffer from a chronic disease or from a sudden illness or other serious unforeseen event (health or family) which prevent them from sitting an examination or submitting/completing an assessment by the set deadline or completing an assessment.

Generally, examples of mitigating/extenuating circumstances may include:

- i) Significant physical illness
- ii) Significant psychological issues
- iii) Severe personal difficulties
- iv) Serious illness or death of a very close relative (mother, father, sister, brother, son, daughter, grandparents)
- v) Sudden and significant deterioration of a serious chronic medical condition
- vi) Personal serious injury
- vii) Required attendance at an educational examination that cannot be rescheduled or attendance at court

The following DO NOT constitute mitigating/extenuating circumstance

- i) Minor illnesses (e.g. colds and their respiratory or other effects, gastroenteritis) that can be treated with common medication
- ii) Work pressure or obligations of any nature
- iii) Failure to read properly the announced time table of examinations or deadlines for the submission of assessment
- iv) Failure to save work properly in physical or electronic form or failure of the device used
- v) Religious festivals
- vi) Any event which may have been anticipated (e.g. tickets issued for travel before the announcement of final and/or ratified grades which may require participation in resits, a doctor's appointment which could be changed)

2. Evidence of Mitigating/Extenuating Circumstances & Deadlines

Submitting evidence to accompany a petition does not mean that the student's request will be automatically accepted. In any case, the Committee on Academic Standards and Policies (CASP) will take into consideration all submitted evidence as well as other academic information or documents in the student's folder in order to make a final judgment on the student's petition.

Students are advised to inform by e-mail and as soon as possible their professors if they are going to be absent from an examination or unable to meet a deadline.

Students must meet the deadlines for petitioning to CASP. Generally, students must submit a completed Mitigating/Extenuating Circumstances form/petition to the Student Success Center along with the appropriate documentation no later than seven (7) days after the missed examination or deadline. Certain earlier deadlines are stated below. No student request will be considered if the Mitigating/Extenuating Circumstances form or other form (e.g. Standard Petition) is submitted to the Student Success Center after the deadline.

All supporting evidence must be originals. If you sent it by e-mail, you must scan originals and then submit the original documents as soon as possible and definitely before CASP meets (see below) in order to consider your request.

You must fill in the Mitigating/Extenuating Circumstances form or any other form accurately including correct course numbers and be absolutely clear regarding your request. Also, the Instructors of your courses need to sign the form next to their courses. If you do not provide essential information or your request is not clear, you endanger a delay in receiving an answer by CASP or even a rejection of your petition.

All documents submitted to CASP and eventually placed in a student's folder are confidential.

Examples of evidence of mitigating/extenuating circumstances required by CASP:

Significant physical illness or Sudden and significant deterioration of a serious chronic medical condition or	Doctor's Stamped Certificate and/or Hospital Letter-Headed and Stamped Document(s); The doctor's specialty must be absolutely relevant to the student's illness (e.g. a Pulmonologist for chronic/serious respiratory infections, a Gastroenterologist for chronic/serious disorders of the gastrointestinal tract etc). No Certificates from General Practitioners (Γενικός/Ειδικευμένος
---	---

Personal serious injury	Παθολόγος, Γενική Ιατρική, Ιατρός Πόνου κλπ) are accepted as enough evidence of a serious illness.
Significant psychological issues	Report from a Psychiatrist, Psychologist and a separate report from the College Educational Psychologist. Students are advised to meet with the College Educational Psychologist as soon as they begin seeing a specialist or when they feel they need some relevant advice. All documents are treated as confidential.
Severe personal difficulties	Report from the College Educational Psychologist based on personal scientific/medical examination/judgment and/or on documentation from medical specialists
Serious illness or death of a very close relative (mother, father, sister, brother, son, daughter, grandparents)	Hospital Certificate/Report regarding the hospitalization of the very close relative. Death certificate or other official evidence.
Required attendance at educational examination that cannot be rescheduled or attendance at court	Official stamped and signed certificate by an Educational Institute official (e.g. a Greek university system Registrar's Office-Γραμματεία or the court of law.)

The above examples are indicative. CASP may ask for specific or additional documents before making a judgment over a student's petition.

3. False Claims or False Documentation

Students must not try to submit a false claim or false documentation because this will be considered as an attempt to gain unfair advantage. If students break this rule, they will face the consequences according to standing regulations. Any decision of CASP made on the grounds of a false claim or false documentation is void.

4. Who, How, and When to Inform about Mitigating Circumstances

- a) Circumstances known to students in advance of taking an examination or submitting an assessment
 - i) Before the day of an examination or an assessment is due, you must complete a Mitigating/Extenuating Circumstances form in person at the Student Success Center and attach to it the supporting evidence. Also, the Instructors of your courses need to sign the form next to their courses. The Student Success Center (SSC) will receive and date-stamp

all documents. If you cannot come to DERE in person, you should contact the SSC for alternative methods of submitting your petition and documents.

b) Circumstances of an unexpected nature on the day of the examination but before the beginning of the examination or on the day an assessment is due.

i) You must inform your professor by e-mail as soon as possible.

ii) No later than seven (7) days after the missed examination or deadline students must submit a completed Mitigating/Extenuating Circumstances form/petition to the Student Success Center along with the appropriate documentation. Also, the Instructors of your courses need to sign the form next to their courses. The Student Success Center (SSC) will receive and date-stamp all documents. If you cannot come to DERE in person, you should contact the SSC for alternative methods of submitting your petition and documents.

c) Circumstances of an unexpected nature during an examination.

i) During an examination the student who needs to leave the room due to a sudden deterioration of his/her health must inform the proctor and explain the reason. All examination papers (even with partially completed answers or just blank) must be delivered to the proctor. The student must seek for the medical advice from the College Doctor or Nurse (ask for help at the SSC) immediately after s/he leaves the examination room. The College Doctor's or Nurse's note is not enough evidence as supporting evidence for a Mitigating/Extenuating Circumstances petition.

ii) No later than seven (7) days after the missed examination or deadline you must submit a completed Mitigating/Extenuating Circumstances form/petition to the Student Success Center along with the appropriate documentation. Also, the Instructors of your courses need to sign the form next to their courses. The Student Success Center (SSC) will receive and date-stamp all documents. If you cannot come to DERE in person, you should contact the SSC for alternative methods of submitting your petition and documents.

5. Absences/Attendance

All students are required to attend 80% of instructional class time. Some programs may impose a stricter attendance requirement subject to this requirement being approved by the relevant validation panel(s).

Absence from a class does not exempt a student from completing the work for that class. Students who have exceeded the allowed threshold of absences will be referred by the instructor to the Registrar's Office. The Registrar will in turn inform the Committee on Academic Standards and Policies which, in the light of any evidence of extenuating circumstances supplied by the student, will decide whether the student must withdraw from the course (and receive an F grade).

A mitigating circumstance related to health, which is acceptable for excusing absences beyond the maximum is hospitalization.

Rationale for the Attendance Policy

Classes are an essential part of the teaching and learning experience. Therefore, regular attendance is mandatory and active participation in classes (lectures, labs, and workshops) is important.

Procedures

1. Instructors will announce to their classes the course attendance policy at the beginning of each term.
2. All instructors must record student absences during every class.
3. When a student has exceeded the maximum allowed absences in a class, the instructor is required to report the absent student to the Registrar's Office by e-mail and send a copy of the Excessive Absences Notification form to the student's acgmail account.
4. Excessive absences are defined as follows:
 - a) For semesters, ten (10) absences in a Monday-Wednesday-Friday class or seven (7) absences in a Tuesday-Thursday or a Monday-Wednesday class or absences exceeding the program specific requirements
 - b) For all sessions, absences corresponding to seven (7) instruction hours or absences exceeding the program specific requirements.

In cases of mitigating/ extenuating circumstances (Regulatory Framework, 7.7) students are required to submit to the Student Success Center for the Committee on Academic Standards and Policies a Mitigating/Extenuating Circumstances Petition with all the required supporting evidence and instructors' signatures, within seven (7) working days from the date their instructors sent them the copy of the Excessive Absences Notification form.

In any case, the final decision on whether a student will receive an 'F' grade in the course is made by the Committee on Academic Standards and Policies based on submitted evidence.

The Registrar's office will inform both the instructors and their students who received an 'F' grade due to absences.

Students who received an 'F' grade in a course due to absences are immediately required to stop attending classes, and are not allowed to sit in any exam or submit any kind of work for the specific course further on.

Clarifications

Students who re-take a course must adhere to the College's attendance policy fully.

Students who, for whatever reasons, have exceeded the maximum allowed number of absences, as previously defined, and have not sat an assessment or have not submitted work by the set deadline, and have not submitted a Mitigating/Extenuating Circumstances Petition within the deadline, will automatically fail the course (F grade in the course).

The Committee on Academic Standards and Policies will review the cases of those students who have already sat or submitted an assessment and have been reported by their instructors for violating the Attendance Policy.

6. The Committee on Academic Standards and Policies (CASP)

CASP is an academic committee chaired by the Provost or a designee (an academic Dean) and is composed of elected faculty members on a rotational basis from all three Schools of DERE as well as of key academic administrators. The Committee on Academic Standards and Policies (CASP) or its sub-Committee meets often during the semesters and sessions in order to provide immediate responses to student petitions. CASP judges student cases on the basis of the documents submitted and with the use of other academic information or documents in their folders. All discussions during the meetings as well as decisions of CASP are confidential.

7. Appeals to the decisions of CASP

Appeals to the decisions of CASP will be accepted only if the student submits new evidence along with a new petition.

Appendix 11

BOARDS OF EXAMINERS

Terms of Reference, Constitution, Process & Procedures

A. Terms of Reference

1. General Purpose

1.1. Determine the appropriate outcome for each Open University (OU) registered student in each OU Validated module and ratify the grades assigned to OU registered students. All decisions on grades remain provisional until they have been ratified at a meeting of the Board attended by the relevant external examiner(s).

1.2. Recommend progression to the next stage of the programme, as well as the conferment of OU Validated Awards to students who have fulfilled the objectives of an approved program of study and achieved the standard required for the award.

2. Specific Purpose

2.1. review processes and procedures for the approval of assessment tasks, examination papers and project titles both for the initial assessment of students and any subsequent reassessments, when necessary.

2.2. ensure that assessment tasks, examination papers and project titles are moderated by appropriate external examiners.

2.3. review the progress of students, ensuring that they achieve the required learning outcomes taking into account the recommendations of the Committee on Academic Standards and Policies regarding students with mitigating circumstances.

2.4. determine the form of assessment that should be offered to those students who have failed or were unable to take the assessment for acceptable reasons.

2.5. receive and approve recommendations from the Committee on Disability and Learning Differences for the assessment of students with special requirements.

2.6. act in accordance with the outcome of any appeals made through the Appeals Procedure.

Constitution

1. Subject Boards

The following must be present:

- Chair to the Board (an Academic member of staff from an unrelated programme/subject area, such as a Department Head, Program Coordinator or experienced member of the Teaching Staff)
- Secretary to the Board (an Administrative member of staff from an unrelated subject area)
- All staff who have taught the on the subject area modules, the Program Co-ordinator (if applicable) and the Department Head
- The Subject External Examiner(s), either physically or by Skype. (Note that Skype attendance is usually only permitted in cases where there are minimal modules to externally examine, and is only permitted once per academic year).

The following may be present:

A representative of The Open University

2. Progression and Award Boards (OU-validated awards, by School)

The following must be present:

- Chair to the Board (a Dean from an unrelated School)
- Secretary to the Board (an Administrative member of staff from an unrelated School)
- The Department Head of the relevant programme and (where applicable) Program/pathway leaders contributing to the OU-validated awards of the School
- The Chief External Examiner for the School
- A representative of The Open University

The following may be present:

- The Dean of the relevant School.

C. Process

1. Each Board of Examiners is divided into two sessions: the Subject Board and the Progression and Award Board.

Subject Boards convene by programme/pathway and examine student grades by module.

Award and Progression Boards convene by School and examine student progression and confer awards by programme.

2. Boards of Examiners are directly accountable to the Academic Council, the College body which assures The Open University that the standards of its awards are being upheld. Boards function according to College regulations.
3. Board of Examiners take place twice during the academic year: (a) after the Fall semester; (b) after the Spring semester.
4. All members of the Boards should be present from the beginning to the end of each meeting to safeguard fair processes for all students.
5. All Boards of Examiners discussions are confidential.
6. Student attendance is not allowed.
7. Chairs and Secretaries are appointed by the Academic Council. The Chair safeguards the regulations and bears the responsibility for the integrity and correctness of the process and results.

D. Procedures

1. The Board of Examiners calendar will be published one year in advance by the Registrar and after it has been agreed by the OU.
2. For the Boards of Examiners, Teaching Staff will submit samples of second-marked assessments placed in module boxes to the Validation Office, which is responsible for making them available to External Examiners prior to the Board of Examiners meeting. Under exceptional circumstances, samples may be sent to via post and/or electronically in password protected files and/or folders to External Examiners upon agreement with the Teaching Staff and the OU. The process will be overseen by the Validation Office in collaboration with the Registrar.
3. Module grade sheets, program regulations and specifications, specific marking criteria, statistical analyses of grades, pass rates for each module and College policies will be available to the External Examiners and the Board of Examiners. The module grade sheet will include all assessment grades and the final module grade for each student in the module as well as indications of Committee decisions affecting the students' grades. Sheets with student grades per programme and individual student progress reports for graduating students will be available to the Award and Progression Boards.

4. The Secretary of the Board keeps minutes of the meetings including the comments of External Examiner(s). The Chair, in collaboration with the Secretary, ensures that all members of the Board are present and that the agenda for each meeting is followed. Following the Board of Examiners, the Chair reviews the minutes for accuracy and submits them to the Validation Office. They are then distributed to all members of the Board by the Validation Office. The accuracy of the minutes is confirmed by the Board at its next meeting.
5. The Secretary distributes and collects all relevant documents. The Secretary of the Board ensures that the External Examiner in the Subject Boards and the Chief External Examiner in the Award & Progression Boards sign the ratified grade sheets as well as the progress grade reports of the students who have been conferred an OU Validated Award. The Secretary collects the signed grade lists and delivers them to the Validation Office immediately after the conclusion of the Board of Examiners.
6. The Secretary also ensures that the minutes of the previous Board are signed by her/himself and the Chair. Once approved in the following Board of Examiners, the minutes are placed in the official records of each School and copies must be sent to the Validation Office.
7. The Validation Office is the central depository for all documentation regarding the Board of Examiners. Immediately after the conclusion of the Board of Examiners, Teaching Staff must deliver all assessments of the OU registered students to the Validation Office for storage.
8. External Examiners report orally at the Board and submit a written report following the Board. The EE's report should be received by the College within 6 weeks and the teaching team are required to write a written response to this report, within 6 weeks of receipt.
9. Students will be issued provisional grades to enable them to register for the next semester/session. Provisional grades would be subject to ratification by the Board of Examiners with External Examiners present. Once ratified by the Board students will be informed through the College web portal regarding their confirmed grades.
10. Students who have received a passing grade in a module will be allowed to register for the next semester or session. However, they will receive full refund should withdrawal from a module be required as a result of the ratification of grades by the Board of Examiners.
11. All matters regarding grades and statistics for the Boards are handled by the Registrar's Office.

[revised, 8 January 2013]

Appendix 12

Academic Appeals

Students registered in a validated program, may appeal against a decision of the Board of Examiners. Students' rights of appeal are limited to two grounds:

- Either that the candidate's performance in an assessment was adversely affected by illness or factors which s/he was unable, or for valid reasons unwilling, to divulge before the Board of Examiners reached its decision.
- Or that there has been a material administrative error, an assessment was not conducted in accordance with the current regulations for the program or special arrangements formally agreed, or that some other material irregularity relevant to the assessment has occurred.

Disagreement with the academic judgment of a Board of Examiners in assessing the merits of an individual element of assessment does not constitute grounds for an academic appeal. Responsibility for the submission of documentary evidence in support of the appeal rests with the student.

Appeals must be submitted in writing to the Registrar no later than 14 days following the publication of Examination Board results. All appeals including request for grade change for non-validated courses/programs must be submitted in writing to the Registrar by the end of the second week of the following session/semester.

On receipt of the appeal, the Registrar informs the department head/program coordinator and the Academic Council (through the Chief Academic Officer) and submits to them all relevant evidence and correspondence. The Academic Dean will undertake an initial assessment of the validity of the appeal and advise the student accordingly. In the light of this advice, the student should decide whether s/he wishes to proceed with the appeal. Alternatively, the student may decide to withdraw his or her appeal and/or lodge a complaint in accordance with the College's complaints procedure. Students who attended a non-validated course may appeal a final course grade within 45 days from the last day of classes for courses without a final exam or from the day of the final exam for courses with final exams. For appeals concerning grade assignment in non-validated courses, the department head/program coordinator may form a departmental committee to review the student assessment and propose to the Academic Council a decision on the grade.

In the event that the student decides to proceed with the appeal, the Academic Council will be convened no later than three weeks after receiving the student's decision to continue with the appeal. The membership of the Academic Council shall exclude any member of faculty or the administration who has been involved in the assessment of the student or who is a member of the relevant Board of Examiners. For non-validated courses, the Academic Council will decide on the student's final grade and will inform the student, the professor and the academic dean.

In cases of validated courses/programs, the Academic Council will hear the appeal. The appellant may be called to appear before the Council. The Academic Council may also require the Chair of the Board of Examiners to appear separately before it. The appellant and the Chair of the Board will not be present when the subcommittee considers the evidence and formulates its decision.

In cases of validated courses/programs, the Academic Council must inform the student and the Board of Examiners of its decision within seven days of the hearing. The student has the right to subsequently appeal to the Provost in writing against the decision of the subcommittee. If the appellant wishes to contest the Provost decision s/he has the right to lodge an appeal with the Open University. The student will obtain contact details for the Provost and the Open University from the Registrar.

The Registrar's Office will keep records of outcomes for all appeals cases. The Academic Council will receive annual summary reports regarding all appeals received by the College.

Complaints Procedure

Complaints are specific concerns about the provision of a course/module or a program of study or related academic or non-academic service. For non-validated courses/programs complaints do not address the review of a decision made by an academic body. When appropriate, a complaint is first resolved through informal discussion with the party/office directly involved. If not resolved at this level, a formal complaint is submitted by the student to the Registrar's office within 14 days from the day the outcome of this discussion is made known to the student. Upon receipt of the complaint the Registrar forwards the complaint with all relevant documentation to the Academic Council or the Dean of Students.

Depending on the nature of the complaint, the academic Dean or Dean of Students will undertake an initial assessment of the validity of the complaint and advise the student accordingly. In the light of this advice, the student should decide whether s/he wishes to proceed with the complaint.

In the event that the student decides to proceed with the complaint, the Academic Council will be convened no later than three weeks after receiving the student's decision. The Academic Council

shall exclude any member of faculty or the administration who has been involved in the complaint or who is a member of the relevant Board of Examiners.


The Academic Council will hear the complaint. The appellant may be called to appear before the Council. The Council may also require the relevant member of faculty and/or Administration to appear separately before it. The appellant and any member of staff against whom the complaint has been made will not be present when the Council considers the evidence and formulates its decision.

The Academic Council must inform the student and the Chair of the Board of Examiners (if the complaint concerns a validated course/program) of its decision within seven days of the hearing. The student has the right to subsequently appeal to the Provost against the decision of subcommittee. If the appellant wishes to contest the Provost's decision for validated programs/programs, s/he has the right to lodge a complaint with the Open University. The student will obtain contact details for the Provost and the Open University from the Registrar.

The Registrar's Office will keep records of outcomes for all complaints cases. The Academic Council will receive annual summary reports regarding all complaints received by the College.

Students may further appeal to the Office of the Independent Adjudicator (OIA).

Updated 2018



The Open University Validation Partnerships

The Open University
Walton Hall
Milton Keynes
MK7 6AA
UK

Phone: +44 (0) 1908 332 840
email: ouvp-recep@open.ac.uk
Website: www.open.ac.uk/ouvp

Revised June 2018

Designed by LTS Media Corporate team
Front cover image © Andy Hendry

72

The Open University is incorporated by Royal Charter (RC 000391), an exempt charity in England & Wales and a charity registered in Scotland (SC 038302)